

$\gamma\gamma$ and gg decay rates for equal mass heavy quarkonia

James T. Lavery,^{1,*} Stanley F. Radford,^{2,†} and Wayne W. Repko^{1,‡}

¹*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA*

²*Department of Physics, The College at Brockport, State University of New York,
Brockport, New York 14420, USA*

(Dated: June 16, 2009)

We present a calculation of the two-photon and two-gluon widths for the equal mass quarkonium states 1S_0 , 3P_0 and 3P_2 of the charmonium and upsilon systems. The approach taken is based on using the full relativistic $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ amplitude together with a wave function derived from the instantaneous Bethe-Salpeter equation. Momentum space radial wave functions obtained from an earlier fit of the charmonium and upsilon spectra are used to evaluate the necessary integrals.

PACS numbers: 13.20.Gd, 12.39.Pn, 13.25.-k, 13.40.Hq

1. INTRODUCTION

Equal-mass quarkonia are eigenstates of the charge conjugation operator C with eigenvalues $C = (-1)^{L+S}$. As such, the 1S_0 , 3P_0 and 3P_2 levels of charmonium and the upsilon system can decay into two photons. These same states can also decay into two gluons, which accounts for a substantial portion of the hadronic decays for states below the $c\bar{c}$ or $b\bar{b}$ threshold. One of us (WWR) was previously involved in an investigation of these decays [1], but, as more data become available, there has been a renewed interest in this subject [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

In the calculation of the two gamma widths that follows, we wish to include the relativistic and QCD effects in the wave functions that are used to compute the two photon decay amplitudes. We do this by making use of the variational wave functions obtained in [9]. These wave functions were computed using a semi-relativistic model containing both the v^2/c^2 and one-loop QCD corrections to the potential and optimized to provide an accurate description of the $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ quarkonium spectra. One of the parameters determined in this process is the renormalization scale appropriate to the particular quarkonium system. We use the resulting radial functions to construct the individual 1S_0 , 3P_0 and 3P_2 wave functions with the proper spin dependence obtained from a decomposition of the instantaneous Salpeter equation. One of the objectives of taking this approach is to investigate how the inclusion of the full wave function information compares with the practice of using the square of the radial wave function $|R_{n0}(0)|^2$ for s -states or $|R'_{n1}(0)|^2$ for p -states. This much can be accomplished by using the expression for the invariant amplitude, $\mathcal{M}$, which can be derived using the instantaneous Salpeter wave function, $\phi(\vec{p})$ [2, 3],

$$\mathcal{M} = e^2 \int d^3p \text{Tr} [C^{-1} \not{\epsilon}'^* S_F(p-k) \not{\epsilon}^* \phi(\vec{p}) + C^{-1} \not{\epsilon}^* S_F(p-k') \not{\epsilon}'^* \phi(\vec{p})] . \quad (1)$$

Here, C is the charge conjugation matrix, k and k' are the photon momenta, ϵ and ϵ' are the photon polarization vectors, $S_F(p)$ is the quark propagator and $p_\mu = (\vec{p}, i\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}) = (\vec{p}, iE)$, with m denoting the quark mass. The wave function $\phi(\vec{p})$ is the Fourier transform of the instantaneous position space wave function $\psi(\vec{x})$ and its radial portion is obtained from the variational calculation in Ref.[9].

*Electronic address: laverty1@msu.edu

†Electronic address: sradford@brockport.edu

‡Electronic address: repko@pa.msu.edu

There are also QCD corrections to the two gamma widths. Because of color conservation, these corrections are finite at the one-loop level. They could, in principle, be calculated by extending Eq. (1) as a perturbative series in the QCD coupling α_S , but the one-loop corrections are known [10, 11, 12, 13] and will be included in the final results.

In the next section, we construct the necessary wave functions, evaluate the trace and calculate the widths $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ for the 1S_0 , 3P_0 and 3P_2 states of the $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ systems. We conclude with a numerical evaluation of the two-photon widths and some comments on the two-gluon widths Γ_{gg} .

2. EVALUATION OF THE BOUND-STATE DECAYS

The wave functions for the solution of the instantaneous Salpeter equation can be decomposed into spin singlet and spin triplet forms, which are [14]

$$^1\phi(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[-\frac{i}{E} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} \gamma_4 + \frac{m}{E} \gamma_4 + 1 \right] \gamma_5 P(\vec{p}) C, \quad (2)$$

for the singlet states and

$$\begin{aligned} ^3\phi(\vec{p}) = & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[-i \frac{1}{E} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{V}(\vec{p}) + \vec{\gamma} \cdot \left(\vec{V}(\vec{p}) - \frac{\vec{p} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{V}(\vec{p})}{E(E+m)} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + i \vec{\alpha} \cdot \left(\frac{m}{E} \vec{V}(\vec{p}) + \frac{\vec{p} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{V}(\vec{p})}{E(E+m)} \right) + i \frac{1}{E} \vec{\gamma} \gamma_5 \cdot (\vec{p} \times \vec{V}(\vec{p})) \right] C, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for the triplet states. These states have the conventional normalization

$$\int d^3p P^*(\vec{p}) P(\vec{p}) = 1 \quad \int d^3p V^\dagger(\vec{p}) \cdot V(\vec{p}) = 1, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P(\vec{p}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \phi_{n0}(p) & \text{for } ^1S_0 \\ \vec{V}(\vec{p}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \hat{p} \phi_{n1}(p) & \text{for } ^3P_0 \\ V_i(\vec{p}) &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \xi_{ij}^{(M)} \hat{p}_j \phi_{n1}(p) & \text{for } ^3P_2. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The spin-two polarization vectors $\xi_{ij}^{(M)}$ satisfy $\xi_{ij}^{(M)} = \xi_{ji}^{(M)}$ and $\xi_{ii}^{(M)} = 0$.

The explicit form of the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ amplitude in Eq. (1) is

$$\mathcal{A} = e^2 e_q^2 \left[\frac{\not{\epsilon}'^* [m - i(\not{p} - \not{k})] \not{\epsilon}^*}{-2p \cdot k} + \frac{\not{\epsilon}^* [m - i(\not{p} - \not{k}')] \not{\epsilon}'^*}{-2p \cdot k'} \right], \quad (6)$$

where e is the proton charge and e_q the fractional quark charge. With the aid of the wave functions in Eq. (5), the traces in Eq. (1) can be evaluated rather straightforwardly for the spin $0^\pm$ states. In the quarkonium rest frame, including the factors $1/2\omega$ from the photon normalization and $1/(2\pi)^{3/2}$ from the Fourier transform of the position space wave function, the results are,

$$\mathcal{M}(^1S_0) = e^2 e_q^2 \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{m}{\omega} \int d^3p \frac{\hat{k} \cdot (\epsilon'^* \times \epsilon^*)}{[(\vec{p} \cdot \hat{k})^2 - E^2]} \phi_{n0}(p), \quad (7)$$

for the 1S_0 state, and

$$\mathcal{M}(^3P_0) = e^2 e_q^2 \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{m}{\omega^2} \int d^3p \frac{1}{pE} \frac{[\omega(\vec{p} \cdot \hat{k})^2 \varepsilon'^* \cdot \varepsilon^* + 2E \vec{p} \cdot \varepsilon'^* \vec{p} \cdot \varepsilon^*]}{[(\vec{p} \cdot \hat{k})^2 - E^2]} \phi_{n1}(p), \quad (8)$$

for the 3P_0 state. The evaluation of $\mathcal{M}(^3P_2)$ is somewhat more tedious, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(^3P_2) = & e^2 e_q^2 \frac{\sqrt{3}}{8\pi^2} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \int d^3p \frac{\xi_{ij}^{(M)}}{p[(\vec{p} \cdot \hat{k})^2 - E^2]} \left[-E(\varepsilon_i'^* p_j \varepsilon^* \cdot \vec{p} + \varepsilon'^* \cdot \vec{p} \varepsilon_i^* p_j) - \omega \varepsilon'^* \cdot \varepsilon^* \vec{p} \cdot \hat{k} \hat{k}_i p_j \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{[\omega(\vec{p} \cdot \hat{k})^2 \varepsilon'^* \cdot \varepsilon^* + 2E \varepsilon'^* \cdot \vec{p} \varepsilon^* \cdot \vec{p}] p_i p_j}{E(E+m)} \right] \phi_{n1}(p), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we have dropped a term involving $p_i p_j p_k$ that vanishes upon solid angle integration.

To complete the calculation of the amplitude, we need to evaluate the angular integrals

$$\int d\Omega_{\vec{p}} \frac{1}{[(\vec{p} \cdot \hat{k})^2 - E^2]} = A_0, \quad (10)$$

$$\int d\Omega_{\vec{p}} \frac{p_i p_j}{[(\vec{p} \cdot \hat{k})^2 - E^2]} = A_1 \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j + A_2 \delta_{ij}, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\Omega_{\vec{p}} \frac{p_i p_j p_k p_\ell}{[(\vec{p} \cdot \hat{k})^2 - E^2]} = & B_1 \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j \hat{k}_k \hat{k}_\ell + B_2 (\delta_{ij} \hat{k}_k \hat{k}_\ell + \delta_{ik} \hat{k}_j \hat{k}_\ell + \delta_{i\ell} \hat{k}_j \hat{k}_k + \delta_{jk} \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_\ell + \delta_{j\ell} \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_k + \delta_{k\ell} \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j) \\ & + B_3 (\delta_{ij} \delta_{k\ell} + \delta_{ik} \delta_{j\ell} + \delta_{jk} \delta_{i\ell}), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

noting that angular integrals of this type with an odd number of p_i 's in the numerator vanish. The values of the coefficients A_0 through B_3 are given in the Appendix. In terms of these coefficients, the $0^\mp$ amplitudes, including a factor of $\sqrt{3}$ for color, are

$$\mathcal{M}(^1S_0) = \frac{e^2 e_q^2 \sqrt{3}}{8\pi^2} \frac{\hat{k} \cdot (\varepsilon'^* \times \varepsilon^*)}{\omega} \int_0^\infty dp p^2 m A_0(p) \phi_{n0}(p) \equiv \sqrt{3} \alpha e_q^2 \frac{\hat{k} \cdot (\varepsilon'^* \times \varepsilon^*)}{\omega} I_0, \quad (13)$$

for the 0^- state and

$$\mathcal{M}(^3P_0) = \frac{\sqrt{3} e^2 e_q^2}{8\pi^2} \frac{\varepsilon'^* \cdot \varepsilon^*}{\omega^2} \int_0^\infty dp \frac{mp}{E} [\omega A_1 + (\omega + 2E) A_2] \phi_{n1}(p) \equiv \sqrt{3} \alpha e_q^2 \frac{\varepsilon'^* \cdot \varepsilon^*}{\omega^2} J_0, \quad (14)$$

for the 0^+ state. As with the traces, the 3P_2 integration over $d\Omega_{\vec{p}}$ is more complicated since it involves four p_i 's in the numerator. The result is [19]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(^3P_2) = & e^2 e_q^2 \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \frac{\xi_{ij}^{(M)}}{\omega^2} \int_0^\infty dp p \left[E \left(-A_2 + \frac{2}{E(E+m)} B_3 \right) (\varepsilon_i'^* \varepsilon_j^* + \varepsilon_j'^* \varepsilon_i^*) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(-\omega(A_1 + A_2) + \frac{\omega(B_1 + 5B_2 + 2B_3) + 2EB_2}{E(E+m)} \right) \varepsilon'^* \cdot \varepsilon^* \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j \right] \phi_{n1}(p) \\ = & 3\alpha e_q^2 \frac{\xi_{ij}^{(M)}}{\omega^2} \left[(\varepsilon_i'^* \varepsilon_j^* + \varepsilon_j'^* \varepsilon_i^*) I_2 + \varepsilon'^* \cdot \varepsilon^* \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j J_2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The calculation of the widths can be performed using the formula for the decay of a particle with spin J into two photons,

$$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \omega^2 \frac{1}{2J+1} \sum_{M=-J}^J \sum_{\text{pol}} \int d\Omega_{\vec{k}} |\mathcal{M}|^2. \quad (16)$$

We sum over the photon polarizations using the Coulomb gauge

$$\sum_{\text{pol}} \varepsilon^*(\hat{k}) \varepsilon(\hat{k}) = \delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j, \quad (17)$$

and over the spin-two projections using

$$\sum_{M=-2}^2 \xi_{ij}^{(M)*} \xi_{mn}^{(M)} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{im} \delta_{jn} + \delta_{in} \delta_{jm}) - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \delta_{mn}. \quad (18)$$

The resulting two-gamma widths are

$$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(^1S_0) = \frac{3\alpha^2 e_q^4}{2\pi} |I_0|^2 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{20}{3} \right) \right), \quad (19)$$

$$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(^3P_0) = \frac{3\alpha^2 e_q^4}{2\pi\omega^2} |J_0|^2 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{28}{3} \right) \right), \quad (20)$$

$$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(^3P_2) = \frac{3\alpha^2 e_q^4}{5\pi\omega^2} (6|I_2|^2 + |I_2 - J_2|^2) \left(1 - \frac{16}{3} \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} \right), \quad (21)$$

where conservation of energy requires that the photon energy ω satisfies $\omega = M_{q\bar{q}}/2$. The last factors in Eqs. (19)-(21) include the one-loop QCD correction. The two-loop QCD correction to the decay rate has been examined in [15] and appears to be large. We have not attempted to include this correction in our evaluation of the decay amplitudes.

3. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The integrals that remain, Eqs. (19)-(21), were evaluated using both the perturbative and non-perturbative wave functions from [9] with the aid of Mathematica. Our results for the charmonium system are shown in Table I [16, 17] and those for the upsilon system are given in Table II.

TABLE I: The data denoted by * were taken from Ref.[16] and those denoted by † were taken from Ref.[17]. The column labeled $\Gamma_{\text{NR+QCD}}$ is the value of the width calculated using $|R_{n0}(0)|^2$ or $|R'_{n1}(0)|^2$ along with the QCD correction.

Decay	Γ_{Pert}	$\Gamma_{\text{non-Pert}}$	$\Gamma_{\text{NR+QCD}}$	Γ_{Exp}
$\eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	5.09 keV	3.48 keV	13.1 keV	$7.2 \pm 0.9 \text{ keV}^*$
$\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	2.02 keV	2.12 keV	5.35 keV	$2.53 \pm 0.45 \text{ keV}^\dagger$
$\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	0.46 keV	0.19 keV	1.55 keV	$0.60 \pm .08 \text{ keV}^\dagger$
$\eta_c' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	2.63 keV	1.96 keV	10.5 keV	$< 7.0 \pm 3.5 \text{ keV}^*$

For charmonium there is reasonable agreement between our calculations and the experimental results, with some preference for the perturbative calculation. The results are much smaller than the non-relativistic widths including the one-loop QCD correction. The two-gamma decay rates for the upsilon system have not been measured and the η_b ground state has only recently been observed [18]. The trend of the widths obtained using the non-relativistic results modified by QCD corrections is again to be larger than our calculated widths. Note that, in this formalism, knowledge of the 1S_0 masses is not necessary for the calculation of their $\gamma\gamma$ widths since the only mass that occurs in Eq. (19) is the quark mass, which we obtain from Ref.[9]. This fact could account for the close agreement of our $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(^1S_0)$ results with those of Ref.[3], even though these authors use a very different potential to get their radial wave functions.

TABLE II:

Decay	Γ_{Pert}	$\Gamma_{\text{non-Pert}}$	$\Gamma_{\text{NR+QCD}}$
$\eta_b \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	0.30 keV	0.32 keV	0.55 keV
$\chi_{b0} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	32.9 eV	94.4 eV	58.4 eV
$\chi_{b2} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	7.19 eV	5.48 eV	9.85 eV
$\eta_b' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	0.14 keV	0.15 keV	0.20 keV
$\chi_{b0}' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	34.1 eV	94.5 eV	68.3 eV
$\chi_{b2}' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	7.59 eV	5.57 eV	11.5 eV
$\eta_b'' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	0.10 keV	0.11 keV	0.22 keV

Finally, we can convert our results from two-photon decays to two-gluon decays by replacing $3\alpha^2 e_q^4$ by $2\alpha_S^2/3$ in Eqs. (19) - (21). This decay process accounts for a substantial portion of hadronic decays for states below $c\bar{c}$ or $b\bar{b}$ threshold. There are, however, significant radiative corrections as well as contributions from three-gluon decays and, thus, the two gluon mode does not tell the whole story. Our results for these two-gluon decays are given in Tables III and IV. From Table III, it can be seen that the two-gluon widths are smaller than the hadronic widths of the charmonium states.

TABLE III:

Decay	Γ_{Pert}	$\Gamma_{\text{non-Pert}}$	Γ_{Exp}
$\eta_c \rightarrow gg$	15.70 MeV	10.57 MeV	26.7 ± 3.0 MeV
$\chi_{c0} \rightarrow gg$	4.68 MeV	4.88 MeV	10.2 ± 0.7 MeV
$\chi_{c2} \rightarrow gg$	1.72 MeV	0.69 MeV	2.03 ± 0.12 MeV
$\eta_c' \rightarrow gg$	8.10 MeV	5.94 MeV	14 ± 7 MeV

TABLE IV:

Decay	Γ_{Pert}	$\Gamma_{\text{non-Pert}}$
$\eta_b \rightarrow gg$	11.49 MeV	12.39 MeV
$\chi_{b0} \rightarrow gg$	0.96 MeV	2.74 MeV
$\chi_{b2} \rightarrow gg$	0.33 MeV	0.25 MeV
$\eta_b' \rightarrow gg$	5.16 MeV	5.61 MeV
$\chi_{b0}' \rightarrow gg$	0.99 MeV	2.74 MeV
$\chi_{b2}' \rightarrow gg$	0.35 MeV	0.26 MeV
$\eta_b'' \rightarrow gg$	3.80 MeV	4.11 MeV

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant PHY-0555544.

APPENDIX A: ANGULAR INTEGRAL COEFFICIENTS

The coefficients A_0 through B_3 occurring in Eqs. (10) - (12) are presented below [20].

$$A_0 = \frac{2\pi}{Ep} \ln \left(\frac{E-p}{E+p} \right), \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$A_1 = \frac{\pi}{Ep} \left[(3E^2 - p^2) \ln \left(\frac{E-p}{E+p} \right) + 6Ep \right], \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$A_2 = -\frac{\pi}{Ep} \left[m^2 \ln \left(\frac{E-p}{E+p} \right) + 2Ep \right], \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$B_1 = \frac{\pi}{12Ep} \left[(105E^4 - 90E^2p^2 + 9p^4) \ln \left(\frac{E-p}{E+p} \right) + (210E^3p - 110Ep^3) \right], \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$B_2 = -\frac{\pi}{12Ep} \left[(15E^4 - 18E^2p^2 + 3p^4) \ln \left(\frac{E-p}{E+p} \right) + (30E^3p - 26Ep^3) \right], \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$B_3 = \frac{\pi}{12Ep} \left[3m^4 \ln \left(\frac{E-p}{E+p} \right) + (6E^3p - 10Ep^3) \right]. \quad (\text{A6})$$

- [1] S. N. Gupta, J. M. Johnson, and W. W. Repko, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 2075 (1996).
- [2] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **18**, 601 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0302044].
- [3] C. S. Kim, T. Lee and G. L. Wang, Phys. Lett. B **606**, 323 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0411075].
- [4] O. Lakhina and E. S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 014012 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0603164].
- [5] J. P. Lansberg and T. N. Pham, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 034001 (2006).
- [6] J. J. Dudek and R. G. Edwards, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 172001 (2006).
- [7] J. P. Lansberg and T. N. Pham, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 017501 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0609268].
- [8] F. Giannuzzi, arXiv:0810.2736 [hep-ph].
- [9] S. F. Radford and W. W. Repko, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 074031 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0701117].
- [10] R. Barbieri, G. Curci, E. d'Emilio and E. Remiddi, Nucl. Phys. **B154**, 535 (1979).
- [11] R. Barbieri, M. Caffo, R. Gatto and E. Remiddi, Phys. Lett. **95B**, 93 (1980); Nucl. Phys. **B192**, 61 (1981).
- [12] W. Kwong, P. B. Mackenzie, R. Rosenfeld and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 3210 (1988).
- [13] B.-Q. Li and K.-T. Chao, [arXiv:0903.5506].
- [14] L. C. Hostler and W. W. Repko, Annals Phys. **130**, 329 (1980).
- [15] A. Czarnecki and K. Melnikov, Phys. Lett. **B519**, 212 (2001).
- [16] C. Amsler, *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B667**, 1 (2008).
- [17] K. M. Ecklund *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], arXiv:0803.2869 [hep-ex].
- [18] C. Aubert, *et al.*, arXiv:0807.1086.
- [19] The definitions of I_2 and J_2 contain an additional factor of E in the numerator missing in the corresponding definitions of I_3 and I_4 in Ref.[1]. This is merely a typo - the integrals were evaluated with the correct expressions.
- [20] These results were independently checked by us, but can be obtained from those in Ref.[1].